

Influence of medium correction of nucleon nucleon cross section on the fragmentation and nucleon emission

Yong-Zhong Xing^{1,2}, Jian-Ye Liu^{1,2,3,4}, Wen-Jun Guo³

¹Institute for the theory of modern physics, Tianshui Normal University, Gansu, Tianshui 741000, P. R. China

²Center of Theoretical Nuclear Physics, National Laboratory of Heavy Ion Accelerator Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

³Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O.Box 31 Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

⁴CCAST(Word Lab.),P.O.Box 8730,Beijing 100080 Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

Abstract

The influence of medium correction from an isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section on the fragmentation and nucleon emission in the intermediate energy heavy ion collisions was studied by using an isospin dependent quantum molecular dynamical model (IQMD). We found that the medium correction enhances the dependence of multiplicity of intermediate mass fragment N_{imf} and the number of nucleon emission N_n on the isospin effect of the nucleon nucleon cross section, while the momentum dependent interaction (MDI) produces also an important role for enhancing the influence of the medium correction on the isospin dependence of two-body collision in the fragmentation and nucleon emission processes. After considering the medium correction and the role of momentum

dependent interaction the increase for the dependence of N_{imf} and N_n on the isospin effect of two-body collision is favorable to learn the information about the isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section.

Keywords: Medium correction; Isospin effect; Nucleon-nucleon cross section; Fragmentation; nucleon emission.

PACCS: 25.70.Pq, 02.70.Ns, 24.10.Lx .

1 Introduction

The isospin physics in heavy-ion collision (HIC) at intermediate energies has been an important topic in recent years[1,3,18]. These studies is not only important for understanding the collision mechanism and nuclear structure but also for getting the knowledge about the isospin asymmetric nuclear matter equation of state(EOS) and isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section. Recently, some observables were found to be the good probes for extracting the information of an isospin asymmetric nuclear matter EOS and the in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section at intermediate energies [4-29] because they are sensitive only to one of the isospin dependent mean field and the isospin dependent in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section. Bao-an Li[22] has pointed out, for instance, that the proton neutron differential collective flow and proton ellipse flow can be used to probe the isospin asymmetric nuclear matter equation of state. He also found recently that the isospin asymmetry of the high density nuclear matter formed in high energy heavy-ion collision is uniquely determined by the high density behavior of the nuclear symmetry energy[23]. Our studies in last few years indicated that the nuclear stopping, the number of nucleon emission and the multiplicity of intermediate mass fragments in HIC at intermediate energies can be used to probe the isospin-dependent in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section[24,25]. In this work we investigate further the influence of the medium correction of the isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section on the fragmentation and the nucleon emission in the heavy ion collisions at intermediate energies by using an isospin dependent quantum molecular dynamical model. We found that the multiplicity of intermediate mass fragments N_{imf} and the number of nucleon emission N_n for

the medium effect $\alpha = -0.2$ (see in Eq.(7)) are always less than those for $\alpha = 0.0$ (free nucleon nucleon collision) in the intermediate energy heavy ion collisions. In particular, the differences between N_{imf} 's or N_n 's from an isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section and an isospin independent one with $\alpha = -0.2$ are always larger than those with $\alpha = 0.0$, i.e., the medium correction of two-body collision increases the dependence of N_{imf} and N_n on the isospin effect of nucleon nucleon cross section, while MDI enhances also the influence of the medium correction on the isospin effect of two-body collision in the fragmentation and nucleon emission processes.

2 IQMD model

The quantum molecular dynamics(QMD)[30] contains two ingredients: density dependent mean field and in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section. To describe isospin effects appropriately, QMD should be modified properly: the density dependent mean field should contain correct isospin terms including symmetry potential and coulomb potential, the in-medium nucleon nucleon cross sections should be different for neutron neutron (proton proton) and neutron proton collisions, in which the Pauli blocking should be counted by distinguishing neutrons and protons. In addition, the initial condition of the ground state of two colliding nuclei should also contain isospin information.

Considering the above ingredients, we have made important modifications in QMD to obtain an isospin dependent quantum molecular dynamics(IQMD)[1, 3]. The initial density distributions of the colliding nuclei in IQMD are obtained from the calculations of the Skyrme-Hatree-Fock with parameter set SKM* [39]. The initial code of IQMD was used to determine the ground state properties of the colliding nuclei, such as the binding energies and RMS radii, which agree with the experimental data for obtaining the parameters of interaction potential as an input data for the collision dynamics calculations by using the code of IQMD.

The interaction potential is

$$U(\rho) = U^{Sky} + U^c + U^{sym} + U^{Yuk} + U^{MDI} + U^{Pauli} \quad (1)$$

,where U^c is Coulomb potential.

The density dependent Skyrme potential U^{Sky} , the Yukawa potential U^{Yuk} , the momentum dependent interaction U^{MDI} and the Pauli potential U^{Pauli} [30, 37] are given by the following equations, respectively

$$U^{Sky} = \alpha\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right) + \beta\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^\gamma, \quad (2)$$

$$U^{Yuk} = t_3 \exp\left(\frac{|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}{m}\right) / \frac{|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|}{m}, \quad (3)$$

$$U^{MDI} = t_4 \ln^2[t_5(\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)^2 + 1] \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$U^{Pauli} = V_p \left\{ \frac{\hbar}{p_0 q_0} \right\}^3 \exp\left(-\frac{(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)^2}{2q_0^2} - \frac{(\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_j)^2}{2p_0^2}\right) \delta_{p_i p_j}, \quad (5)$$

with

$$\delta_{p_i p_j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for neutron-neutron or proton-proton} \\ 0 & \text{for neutron-proton.} \end{cases}$$

In this work we used following different symmetry potentials[1,3]:

$$\begin{aligned} U_1^{sym} &= \pm 2e_a u \delta \\ U_2^{sym} &= \pm 2e_a u^2 \delta + e_a u^2 \delta^2 \\ U_0^{sym} &= 0.0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here e_a is the strength of symmetry potential taking the value of 16MeV and $U_0^{sym}=0.0$ indicates the case without any symmetry potential. $u = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$, δ is the relative neutron excess $\delta = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_p}{\rho_n + \rho_p} = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_p}{\rho}$. Here ρ, ρ_0, ρ_n and ρ_p are total, normal, neutron and proton densities, respectively. In the first terms on the right side of Eq.(6) the upper + means repulsive for neutrons and the lower - is attractive for protons. The parameters of interaction potentials are in table 1.0

Table 1. The parameters of the interaction potential

	α	β	γ	t_3	m	t_4	t_5	V_p	p_0	q_0
	(MeV)	(MeV)		(MeV)	(fm)	(MeV)	(MeV ⁻²)	(MeV)	(MeV/c)	(fm)
MDI	-390.1	320.3	1.14	7.5	0.8	1.57	5×10^{-4}	30	400	5.64
NOMDI	-356	303	1.1667	7.5	0.8	0.0	0×10^{-4}	30	400	5.64

The NOMDI in table 1 means without MDI. The influence of medium correction on the nucleon nucleon cross section is an important topic in HIC at intermediate energies[31,32]. D. Klakow et al proposed that the in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section should be a function of the nucleon distribution density as follows [33]

$$\sigma_{NN} = (1 + \alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}) \sigma_{NN}^{free}. \quad (7)$$

The parameter $\alpha = -0.2$ has been found to reproduce the flow data[34,35]. The free neutron proton cross section is about a factor of 3 times larger than the free proton proton or the free neutron neutron one below 400 MeV, which contributes the main isospin effect from nucleon-nucleon collisions at intermediate heavy ion collisions. In fact, the ratio of the neutron proton cross section to proton proton(or neutron neutron) cross section in the medium, σ_{np}/σ_{pp} , depends sensitively on the evolution of the nuclear density distribution and beam energy. We used equation (7) to take into account the medium effects, in which the neutron proton cross section is always larger than the neutron neutron or proton proton cross section in the medium at the beam energies in this paper. Here σ_{NN}^{free} is the experimental nucleon nucleon cross section[36].

$$\sigma_{np}^{free} = \begin{cases} 381.0(mb) & E \leq 25(MeV) \\ \frac{5067.4}{E^2} + \frac{9069.2}{E} + 6.9466(mb) & 25 < E \leq 40 (MeV) \\ \frac{239380.0}{E^2} + \frac{1802.0}{E} + 27.14(mb) & 40 < E \leq 310 (MeV) \\ 34.5(mb) & 310 < E \leq 800(MeV) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_{nn}^{free} = \sigma_{pp}^{free} = \begin{cases} 80.6(mb) & E \leq 25(MeV) \\ -\frac{1174.8}{E^2} + \frac{3088.5}{E} + 5.3107(mb) & 25 < E \leq 40 (MeV) \\ \frac{93074.0}{E^2} + \frac{11.148}{E} + 22.429(mb) & 40 < E \leq 310 (MeV) \\ \frac{887.37}{E^2} + 0.05331E + 3.5475(mb) & 310 < E \leq 800(MeV) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

We constructed the clusters by means of a modified coalescence model [37], in which particle relative momentum is smaller than $p_0=300\text{MeV}/c$ and relative distance is smaller than $R_0= 3.5$ fm. The restructured aggregation model[38] has been applied to avoid the

nonphysical clusters after constructing the clusters, until there were not any nonphysical clusters to be produced.

3 Results and Discussions

The isospin effect of the in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section on the observables is defined by the difference between the observables for an isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section σ^{iso} and for an isospin independent one σ^{noiso} in the medium. Here σ^{iso} is defined as $\sigma_{np} \geq \sigma_{nn} = \sigma_{pp}$ and σ^{noiso} means $\sigma_{np} = \sigma_{nn} = \sigma_{pp}$, where σ_{np} , σ_{nn} and σ_{pp} are the neutron proton, neutron neutron and proton proton cross sections in medium, respectively.

3.1 Influence of medium correction of two-body (collision) on the N_n and N_{imf}

In order to study the influence from the medium correction of the isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section on the isospin effects of the fragmentation and the nucleon emission, we investigated the number of nucleon emission N_n as a function of the beam energy at impact parameter $b = 4.0$ fm for the mass symmetry system $^{76}K_r + ^{76}K_r$ (top panels) and mass asymmetry system $^{112}S_n + ^{40}C_a$ (bottom panels). Two colliding systems are the same system mass $A_t + A_p = 152$, where A_t and A_p are projectile mass and target mass respectively. The different symmetry potentials U_1^{sym} , U_2^{sym} and U_0^{sym} as well as different kinds of nucleon nucleon cross sections, i.e., the isospin dependent in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section σ^{iso} and the isospin independent one σ^{noiso} are used here. Namely, there are six cases : $U_0^{sym} + \sigma^{iso}$, $U_1^{sym} + \sigma^{iso}$, $U_2^{sym} + \sigma^{iso}$, $U_1^{sym} + \sigma^{noiso}$ and $U_2^{sym} + \sigma^{noiso}$ with $\alpha = -0.2$ (left panels) and $\alpha = 0.0$ (right panels) in Fig.1. The detail explanations about line symbols in the Fig.1 are in figure. It is clear to see that all of lines with filled symbols are larger than those with open symbols, i.e., all of N'_n s with σ^{iso} are larger than those with σ^{noiso} because the collision number is larger for σ^{iso} than that for σ^{noiso} . We also found that the gaps between lines with the filled symbols and with the open symbols are larger but the variations among lines in each group are smaller. Because

the large gaps come from the isospin effect of two-body collision and small variations are produced from the symmetry potential, i. e., N_n depends sensitively on the isospin effect of in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section and weakly on the symmetry potential. In particular, the gaps between two group lines with $\alpha = -0.2$ are larger than those with $\alpha = 0.0$, i.e., the medium correction of two-body collision enhances the dependence of N_n on isospin effect of two-body collision.

In order to investigate quantitatively the influence of the medium correction of two-body collision on the nucleon emission, it is to define

$$\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha) = N_n(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha) - N_n(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha). \quad (10)$$

Fig.2 shows the time evolution of $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha = -0.2)$ (solid line) and $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dashed line) at impact parameter $b = 4.0 fm$ for $^{76}Kr + ^{76}Kr$ at $E=100$ MeV/nucleon (top panels) and $^{112}Sn + ^{40}Ca$ at $E=200$ MeV/nucleon (bottom panels). In this case, there are about the same center of mass energy per nucleon for two colliding systems. The symmetry potentials are U_1^{sym} (left panels) and U_2^{sym} (right panels). It is clear to see that all of solid lines are higher than dashed lines, i.e., the medium correction of nucleon nucleon cross section increases sensitively the dependence of N_n on the isospin effect of two-body collision as above mentioned.

To investigate the evolution of above dependence with increasing beam energy for two different colliding systems, the variation $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \Delta\alpha)$ as a function of beam energy at impact parameter of 4.0 fm for symmetry potential U_1^{sym} is given in Fig.3. Where $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \Delta\alpha)$ is defined as

$$\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \Delta\alpha) = \Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha = -0.2) - \Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha = 0.0) \quad (11).$$

In which $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha)$ is taken from Eg.(10). From Fig.3 we can see that all of $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \Delta\alpha)$ are larger than zero, i.e., the medium correction of the nucleon nucleon cross section increases the dependence of N_n on the isospin effect of two-body collision in the beam energy region from 50 MeV/nucleon to 300 MeV/nucleon. we also find that $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \Delta\alpha)$'s for mass symmetry system are always larger than those for mass asymmetry system due to more collision number for the mass symmetry system with the same system mass.

In order to investigate the contributions from all of impact parameters to N_n , Fig.4 shows the impact parameter average values of $\langle N_n \rangle_b$ (from at equilibrium time $200fm/c$) as a function of the beam energy for above two colliding systems with the same incident channel conditions and line symbols as in Fig.1. The same conclusion as mentioned in Fig.1 is also obtained here, i.e., the medium correction of nucleon nucleon cross section enhances the dependence of $\langle N_n \rangle_b$ on the isospin effect of two-body collision.

Fig.5 shows the impact parameter average values of the multiplicity of intermediate mass fragment, $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ (at equilibrium time $200fm/c$), as a function of the beam energy for the same incident channel conditions and line symbols as in Fig.4. Where the charge number of intermediate mass fragment is taken from 3 to 13. From Fig.5 we got the same conclusions as $\langle N_n \rangle_b$, namely, $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ depends sensitively on the isospin effect of in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section and weakly on the symmetry potential. In particular, the gaps between two group lines with $\alpha = -0.2$ are larger than those with $\alpha = 0.0$, which indicates that the medium correction enhances also the dependence of $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ on the isospin effect of the two-body collision.

3.2 Important role of MDI on N_{imf} and N_n in the medium corrections of two-body collision

We also found an important role of the MDI on N_{imf} and N_n in the medium correction of two-body collision. Fig.6 shows the time evolution of N_{imf} for the reaction $^{76}Kr + ^{76}Kr$ with symmetry potentials U_1^{sym} at beam energy of 100 MeV/nucleon and impact parameter of $4.0fm$. They are four cases: (1) $\alpha = -0.2 + \sigma^{iso}$ (solid line), (2) $\alpha = 0.0 + \sigma^{iso}$ (dashed line), (3) $\alpha = -0.2 + \sigma^{noiso}$ (dot line) and (4) $\alpha = 0.0 + \sigma^{noiso}$ (dot-dashed line) with MDI in the left window and NOMDI in the right window. It is clear to see that the gap between the lines with MDI is larger than corresponding gap between lines with NOMDI in the medium ($\alpha = -0.2$), i.e., MDI increases the isospin effect of two-body collision on the N_{imf} in the medium because above gaps are produced from the isospin effect of nucleon-nucleon cross section in the medium.

For the $\langle N_n \rangle_b$, we have gotten the same conclusion as $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ in Fig.6.

3.3 Explanations for the medium correction of two-body collision and the role of MDI on the N_{imf} and N_n .

Why does the medium correction of nucleon nucleon cross section and MDI enhance the dependences of multiplicity of intermediate mass fragments N_{imf} and the number of nucleon emission N_n on the isospin effect of two-body collision? Physically there are three mechanisms at work here. (1) The average momentum of a particle in medium is higher in a heavy ion collision than in cold nuclear matter at the same density. (2) MDI induces the transporting momentum more effectively from one part of the system to another, in which particles also move with a higher velocity in the medium than in free space for a given momentum. (3) As we know that the isospin dependent in-medium nucleon nucleon cross section is a sensitive function of the nuclear density distribution and beam energy as shown in Eq.(7). Fig.7 shows the time evolution of the ratio of nuclear density to normal one, $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$, for four cases: they are $\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha = -0.2)$ (solid line), $\rho(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha = -0.2)$ (dotted line), $\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dashed line) and $\rho(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dot-dashed line) for the reaction $^{76}\text{Kr} + ^{76}\text{Kr}$ with symmetry potential U_1^{sym} at $E = 150$ MeV/nucleon and $b = 4.0$ fm. From the values of peak for $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ in the insert in Fig.7 it is clear to see that $\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha = -0.2)$ (solid line) is larger than $\rho(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha = -0.2)$ (dot line) and $\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dashed line) is larger than $\rho(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dot-dashed line) because the larger collision number from σ^{iso} increases the nuclear stopping and dissipation, which enhances the nuclear density, compared to the case with σ^{noiso} . From Fig.7 we can also see that $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ decreases quickly with increasing the time after the peak of $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$. During above process the larger compression produces quick expanding process of the colliding system and the small compression induces slow expanding process, at the same time, the $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ decrease quickly with expanding process of system. But the decreasing velocity of $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ is larger for the quick expansion system than that for the slow expansion system, up to about after 70 fm/c, on the contrary, $\rho(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha = -0.2)$ (dot line) is larger than $\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha = -0.2)$ (solid line) and $\rho(\sigma^{noiso}, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dot-dashed line) is larger than $\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha = 0.0)$ (dashed line). In particular, the gap between two lines for $\alpha = -0.2$ is larger than that for $\alpha = 0.0$ after about 70 fm/c. This property is very similar to the

N_{imf} and N_n , which means that the medium correction of an isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section enhances also the dependence of ρ on the isospin effect of two-body collision, which induces the same effects on N_{imf} and N_n through the nucleon nucleon cross section as a function of the nuclear density as shown in Eg.(7).

4 Summary and conclusion

We studied the influences of the medium correction of the isospin dependent nucleon nucleon cross section and MDI on the fragmentation and nucleon emission in the heavy ion collisions at intermediate beam energies by using the IQMD. From the calculation results we can get the following conclusions:

(1) $\langle N_n \rangle_b$ and $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ depend sensitively on the isospin effect of nucleon nucleon cross section and weakly on the symmetry potential.

(2) In particular, the medium correction of nucleon nucleon cross section enhances the dependence of $\langle N_n \rangle_b$ and $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ on the isospin effect of nucleon nucleon cross section in the intermediate beam energy region.

(3) MDI produces an important role for enhancing the isospin effect of two-body collision on the $\langle N_n \rangle_b$ and $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ due to the medium correction.

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Prof.Bao-An Li for helpful discussions.

This work is supported by the Major State Basic Research Development Programme of China under Grant No G2000077400, the National Nature Science Foundation Of China under Grant No. 10175080, 10004012, 19847002 and 10175082;100 person project of Chinese Academy of Sciences and Knowledge Innovation Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No KJCX2-SW-N02.

References

- [1] B. A. Li and W. Udo Schroder, Isospin Physics in Heavy-Ion Collision at intermediate Energies, Nova Science publishers. Inc(2001, New York).
- [2] M. S. Hussein, R. A. Rego and C. A. Bertulani, Phys. Rep. 201, 279(1993).
- [3] Bao-An Li, Che-Ming Ko and W. Bauer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E7**, 147(1998).
- [4] R. Wada et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **58**, 1829(1987).
- [5] S. J. Tennello et al., Phys. Lett. **B321**, 14(1994); Nucl. Phys. **A681**, 317c(2001).
- [6] R. Pak et al., Phys. Lett. **78**, 1022(1997); ibid **78**, 1026(1997).
- [7] G. D. Westfall, Nucl. Phys. **A630**, 27c(1998); ibid **A681**, 343c(2001).
- [8] G. J. Kunde et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **77**, 2897(1996).
- [9] M. L. Miller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **82**, 1399(1999).
- [10] H. Xu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **85**, 716(2000); M. B. Tsang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **86**, 5023(2001).
- [11] W. Udo Schroder, et al., Nucl. Phys. **A681**, 418c(2001).
- [12] L. G. Sobotka et al., Phys. Rev. **C55**, R1272(1994).
- [13] F. Rami et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **84**, 1120(2000).
- [14] M. Farine, T. Sami, B. Remaud et al., Z. Phys. **339**, 363(1991).
- [15] H. Muller and B. D. Serot, Phys. Rev. **C52**, 2072(1995).
- [16] B. A. Li et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **76**, 4492(1996); **78**, 1644(1997).
- [17] G. Kortmeyer, W. Bauer and G. J. Kunde, Phys. Rev. **C55** 2730(1997).
- [18] M. Colonna et al., Phys. Lett. **B428**, 1(1998); V. Baran et al., Nucl. Phys. **A632**, 287(1998); V. Baran, M. Colonna, M. Di Toro et al, Nucl. Phys. **A703**, 603(2002).
- [19] J. Pan and S. Das Gupta, Phys. Rev. **C57**, 1839(1998).

- [20] P. Chomaz and F. Gulminelli, Phys. Lett. **B447**, 221(1999).
- [21] J. Y. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. **C63** 054612(2001); Nucl. Phys. **A687**, 475(2001).
- [22] B. A. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett., **85**, 4221(2000); *Phys.Rev.***C64**, 054604(2001).
- [23] Bao-An Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**,192701(2002).
- [24] J. Y. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **86**, 975(2001); J. Y. Liu, Y. Z. Xing, W. J. Guo, et al., Chin. Phys. Lett.,19(8),1078(2002).
- [25] J. Y. Liu, W. J. Guo, Y. Z. Xing et al.,Phys. Lett. **B540**,213(2002).
- [26] J. Y. Liu, W. J. Guo, Y. Z. Xing et al., Phys. Rev. **C67** 024608(2003).
- [27] D.R.Bowman, C.M.Mader et al., Phys. Rev. **C46**,1834(1992).
- [28] M.L.Miller,O.Bjarki et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. **82**, 1399(1999).
- [29] R. Pak, Bao-An Li, W. Benenson, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**,1026(1997).
- [30] J. Aichelin, G. Peilert, A. Bohnet, et al., Phys.Rev. **C37**,2451(1988).
- [31] G. Q. Li and R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. **C49** 566(1994) and references therein.
- [32] Q. F. Li, Z. X. Li and G. J. Mao, Phys. Rev. **C62**, 014606(2000); Q. F. Li Z. X. Li, Chin. Phys. Lett., 19(3),321(2002).
- [33] D.Klakow, G.Welke and W.Bauer, Phys. Rev. **C48**,1982(1993).
- [34] M. J. Huang et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., **77**,3739(1996).
- [35] G. D. Westfall et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., **71**,1986(1993).
- [36] K. Chen, Z. Fraenkel, G. Friedlander, et al., Phys. Rev. 166, 949(1968).
- [37] G. F. Bertsch and S. D. Gupta, Phys.Rep. **160**,1991(1988).
- [38] C. Ngo, H. Ngo and S. Leray et al., Phys. Rep. **A499**, 148(1989).
- [39] P.G.Reinhard et al,in:Computational Nuclear Physics.Vol.1,Springer-Verlag, Berlin,1991,pp.28-50.

Figure captions

- Fig. 1** The nucleon emission number N_n as a function of the beam energy for systems $^{76}Kr + ^{76}Kr$ and $^{112}Sn + ^{40}Ca$ for six cases (see text).
- Fig. 2** The time evolution of $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \alpha)$ for the systems as the same as Fig.1 at E=100 MeV/nucleon (top panels) and 200 MeV/nucleon (bottom panels) for two symmetry potentials (see text).
- Fig. 3** $\Delta N_n(\Delta\sigma, \Delta\alpha)$ as a function of the beam energy for the systems as the same as Fig.1 in two cases (see text).
- Fig. 4** The impact parameter average value of the number of nucleon emission $\langle N_n \rangle_b$ as a function of beam energy for the same incident channel conditions and line symbols as Fig.1 (see text).
- Fig. 5** The impact parameter average values of the multiplicity of intermediate mass fragment, $\langle N_{imf} \rangle_b$ as a function of the beam energy for the same incident channel conditions and line symbols as Fig.4.
- Fig.6** The time evolution of the N_{imf} with MDI (solid line) and NOMDI (dot line) for systems $^{76}Kr + ^{76}Kr$ at E=100 MeV/nucleon and b= 4.0 fm(see text).
- Fig.7** The time evolution of the ratio of nuclear density to normal density $\frac{\rho(\sigma^{iso}, \alpha)}{\rho_0}$ for four cases(see text).